P. Z. Carry M. M. H. G. CLERK

Docket No. EPCRA-09-2008- 00 3 ()

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL

ORDER PURSUANT TO 40 C.F.R.

1

2

3

4

In the Matter of:

PRC-De Soto International,

of PPG Industries, Inc.,

Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary)

5 6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CONSENT AGREEMENT

Respondent

UNITED STATES

REGION 9

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY SEP 30 PH 3: 35

§§ 22.13 AND 22.18

10 The Director of the Communities and Ecosystems Division 11 ("Complainant"), United States Environmental Protection 12 Agency ("EPA") Region 9, and PRC-De Soto International, Inc., 13 a wholly owned subsidiary of PPG Industries, Inc. ("Respondent" or "PRC") agree to settle this matter and 14 15 consent to the filing of this Consent Agreement and Final 16 Order Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13 and 22.18 ("CAFO"), 17 which simultaneously commences and concludes this matter in 18 accordance with 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b) and 22.18(b).

- This is a civil administrative proceeding initiated pursuant 2. to Section 325(c) of Title III of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act, 42 U.S.C. § 11001 et seq., also known as the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986 ("EPCRA"), for violation of Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and the regulations promulgated to implement Section 313 at 40 C.F.R. Part 372.
- Complainant has been duly delegated the authority to file this action and sign a consent agreement settling this action. Respondent is a corporation incorporated in

California.

- 4. Pursuant to Sections 313 and 328 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 11023 and 11048, EPA promulgated the Toxic Chemical Release Reporting: Community Right-to-Know Rule at 40 C.F.R. Part 372.
- 5. Section 313(a) of EPCRA, as implemented by 40 C.F.R.
 § 372.30, provides that an owner or operator of a facility
 that meets the criteria set forth in EPCRA Section 313(b) and
 40 C.F.R. § 372.22, is required to submit annually to the
 Administrator of EPA and to the State in which the facility
 is located, no later than July 1st of each year, a toxic
 chemical release inventory reporting form (hereinafter "Form
 R") for each toxic chemical listed under 40 C.F.R. § 372.65
 that was manufactured, processed or otherwise used at the
 facility during the preceding calendar year in quantities
 exceeding the thresholds established under EPCRA Section
 313(f) and 40 C.F.R. §§ 372.25, 375.27, and 372.28.
- 6. Section 313(b) of EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.22 provide that the requirements of Section 313(a) and 40 C.F.R. § 372.30 apply to an owner and operator of a facility that has 10 or more full-time employees; that is in a Standard Industrial Classification major group codes 10 (except 1011, 1081, and 1094), 12 (except 1241), 20 through 39; industry codes 4911, 4931, or 4939 (limited to facilities that combust coal and/or oil for the purpose of generating power for distribution in commerce), or 4953 (limited to facilities regulated under the

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, subtitle C, 42 U.S.C. §6921 et seq.), or 5169, 5171, or 7389 (limited to facilities primarily engaged in solvent recovery services on a contract or fee basis); and that manufactures, processes, or otherwise uses one or more toxic chemicals listed under Section 313(c) of EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.65 in quantities in excess of the applicable thresholds established under EPCRA Section 313(f) and 40 C.F.R. §§ 372.25, 372.27, and 372.28.

- 7. Section 325(c) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11045(c) and 40 C.F.R. Part 19 authorize EPA to assess a penalty of up to \$27,500 for each violation of Section 313 of EPCRA that occurred on or after January 31, 1997, but before March 15, 2004, and up to \$32,500 for each violation of Section 313 of EPCRA that occurred on or after March 15, 2004.
- Respondent is a "person," as that term is defined by Section 15 329(7) of EPCRA.
 - At all times relevant to this CAFO, Respondent was the owner and operator of a "facility," as that term is defined by Section 329(4) of EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.3, located at 11601 United Street, Mojave, California 93501("Facility"); the Facility had 10 or more "full-time employees," as that term is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 372.3; and the Facility was classified in Standard Industrial Classification Code 2891 adhesives and sealants.
 - 10. During calendar year 2005, Respondent processed the following amounts (in pounds) of certain glycol ethers, dimethyl

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

phthalate and ethylbenzene, chemicals listed under 40 C.F.R. \$ 372.65:

<u>Year</u>	<u>certain glycol</u>	<u>dimethyl</u>	<u>ethylbenzene</u>
	ethers	<u>phthalate</u>	
2005	107,524	55,244	36 , 057

- 11. The quantities of certain glycol ethers, dimethyl phthalate and ethylbenzene that Respondent processed at the Facility during calendar year 2005 exceeded the established threshold of 25,000 pounds set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 372.25(a).
- 12. Respondent failed to submit Form Rs for certain glycol ethers, dimethyl phthalate and ethylbenzene processed at the Facility to the EPA Administrator and to the State of California on or before July 3, 2006 for calendar year 2005, as required by Section 313(a) of EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.30.
- 13. Respondent's failure to submit Form Rs for certain glycol ethers, dimethyl phthalate and ethylbenzene processed at the Facility for calendar year 2005, constitutes three violations of Section 313 of EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.30.
- 14. The EPA Enforcement Response Policy for EPCRA Section 313 dated August 10, 1992, provides for a penalty of sixty-five thousand eight hundred dollars (\$65,800) for these violations.
- 15. In executing this CAFO, Respondent certifies that (1) it has now fully completed and submitted to EPA all of the required Form Rs in compliance with Section 313 of EPCRA and the regulations promulgated to implement Section 313; and (2) it

has complied with all other EPCRA requirements at all facilities under its control.

- 16. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(2) and for the purpose of this proceeding, Respondent (i) admits that EPA has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this CAFO and over Respondent; (ii) admits the violations and facts alleged in this CAFO; (iii) consents to the terms of this CAFO; (iv) waives any right to contest the allegations in this CAFO; and (v) waives the right to appeal the proposed final order contained in this CAFO.
- 17. The terms of this CAFO constitute a full settlement of the civil administrative matter filed under the docket number above.
- 18. EPA's final policy statement on Incentives for Self-Policing:

 Discovery, Disclosure, Correction and Prevention of

 Violations, 65 Fed. Reg. 19617 (April 11, 2000) ("Audit

 Policy") has several important goals, including encouraging

 greater compliance with the laws and regulations which

 protect human health and the environment and reducing

 transaction costs associated with violations of the laws EPA

 is charged with administering. If certain specified criteria

 are met, reductions in gravity-based penalties of up to 100%

 are available under the Audit Policy. These criteria are (1)

 discovery of the violation(s) through an environmental audit

 or due diligence; (2) voluntary disclosure; (3) prompt

 disclosure; (4) discovery and disclosure independent of

government or third party plaintiff; (5) correction and remediation; (6) prevent recurrence; (7) no repeat violations; (8) other violations excluded; and (9) cooperation.

- 19. Complainant has determined that Respondent has satisfied all of the criteria under the Audit Policy and thus qualifies for the elimination of civil penalties in this matter.

 Accordingly, the civil penalty assessed in this matter is zero (\$0) dollars.
- 20. Complainant's finding that PRC has satisfied the criteria of the Audit Policy is based upon documentation that PRC has provided to establish that it satisfies these criteria. Complainant and Respondent agree that, should any material fact upon which Complainant relied in making its finding subsequently prove to be other than as represented by PRC, this CAFO may be voided in whole or in part.
- 21. Nothing in this CAFO modifies, affects, exempts or relieves
 Respondent's duty to comply with all applicable provisions of
 EPCRA and other federal, state or local laws and permits. In
 accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(c), this CAFO only resolves
 Respondent's liability for federal civil penalties for the
 violations and facts specifically alleged in this CAFO.
 Nothing in this CAFO is intended to or shall be construed to
 resolve (i) any civil liability for violations of any
 provision of any federal, state, or local law, statute,
 regulation, rule, ordinance, or permit not specifically

alleged in this CAFO; or (ii) any criminal liability. EPA specifically reserves any and all authorities, rights, and remedies available to it (including, but not limited to, injunctive or other equitable relief or criminal sanctions) to address any violation of this CAFO or any violation not specifically alleged in this CAFO.

- 22. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.18(b)(3) and 22.31(b), this CAFO shall be effective on the date that the final order contained in this CAFO, having been approved and issued by either the Regional Judicial Officer or Regional Administrator, is filed.
- 23. The provisions of this CAFO shall be binding upon Respondent, its agents, successors or assigns. Respondent's obligations under this Consent Agreement, if any, shall end when Respondent has performed all of the terms of the Consent Agreement in accordance with the Final Order. Complainant and Respondent consent to the entry of the CAFO without further notice.

1	FOR COMPLAINANT:			
2				
3	00T 0000	Math IVI		
4	5297, 30 2008 Date /	Harique Manzanilla, Director		
5 6		Communities and Ecosystems Division EPA Region 9		
7	FOR RESPONDENT:			
8	TON MESTONDENT.			
9				
10	9-29-08 Date	Barry N. Gillespie		
11		President and CEO PRC-De Soto International, Inc.		
12				
13	/			
14	//////////			
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28		-8-		

II. FINAL ORDER

Complainant EPA Region 9 and Respondent PRC-De Soto
International, Inc., having entered into the foregoing Consent
Agreement,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Consent Agreement and Final Order Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13 and 22.18 (Docket No. EPCRA-09-2008-0.030) be entered.

09/30/08 Date

Regional Judicial Officer
U.S. Environmental Protection
Agency, Region 9

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the original of the foregoing Consent Agreement and Final Order Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13 and 22.18, Docket No. EPCRA-09-2008- 0030, was placed in the United States Mail, certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed to the following address:

Barry N. Gillespie, President and CEO PRC-De Soto International, Inc. 11601 United Street Mojave, CA 93501

Certified Return Receipt No.:

By(

Date: 10 / 02/2008

Danielle Carr

Regional Hearing Clerk United States Environmental

Protection Agency, Region 9

75 Hawthorne Avenue

San Francisco, California 94105-3143